## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

MINNESOTA RIGHT TO LIFE and MINNESOTA GUN RIGHTS,

Case No. 25-CV-2476 (NEB/DTS)

Plaintiffs,

v.

STIPULATED ORDER

FARIS RASHID; CAROL FLYNN; STEPHEN SWANSON; GEORGE SOULE; DAVID ASP; JEFF SIGURDSON; MEGAN ENGELHARDT; JOHN CHOI; KATHRYN M. KEENA; and MARY MORIARTY;

Defendants.

After a June 26, 2025 telephone conference with counsel, the parties reached an agreement on a standstill in this case and filed a proposed order for an agreed-upon briefing schedule for Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction.<sup>1</sup> (ECF No. 33.) The Court having reviewed and approved the proposed briefing schedule, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Defendants shall file a response to Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction (ECF No. 7) by July 18, 2025;

<sup>1</sup> The motion is styled as an *ex parte* temporary restraining order and emergency preliminary injunction. (*See* ECF No. 7.) Because the Court would like briefing on this motion from Defendants, the motion has been converted to a motion for preliminary

injunction.

CASE 0:25-cv-02476-NEB-DTS Doc. 34 Filed 07/09/25 Page 2 of 2

2. Plaintiffs shall reply to Defendants' response by August 1, 2025;

3. The Court will hear Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction on

August 22, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. in courtroom 13W of the Minneapolis Federal

Courthouse;

4. Defendants and their officers, agents, servants, and employees shall not

enforce the requirements of Minnesota Statutes Section 10A.04, subdivision 6

against Plaintiffs, and no penalties shall accrue against Plaintiffs, while

Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction remains pending before this

Court; and

5. Defendants need not answer or respond to Plaintiffs' complaint until 21 days

after the Court decides the motion for preliminary injunction.

Dated: July 9, 2025

BY THE COURT:

s/Nancy E. Brasel

Nancy E. Brasel

United States District Judge

2